

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE Sanket S. Amberkar 09/954,886 09/18/2001 DE3-0173/DP-302828 8234 **EXAMINER** 7590 11/04/2004 BROADHEAD, BRIAN J EDMUND P. ANDERSON DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Legal Staff Mail Code: 480-414-420 P.O. Box 5052 3661 Troy, MI 48007-5052

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/954,886	AMBERKAR ET AL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian J. Broadhead	3661
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 A 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under A	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters	•
Disposition of Claims		•
4)	awn from consideration. B is/are rejected. D-32 is/are objected to.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examina 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the control of the correct of th)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ction is required if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. Its have been received in Appliphity documents have been received in Appliphity documents have been received.	ication No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/954,886

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 10, 11, 12, 20, 23, 24, 27, 29, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamamoto et al., 5528497.
- 3. As per claims 1, 2, 7, 10, 11, 12, 20, 23, 24, 29, and 33 Yamamoto et al. disclose a torque assist function responsive to a signal indicative of an input device torque for providing a torque-assist command to an electric motor on lines 40-42, on column 2; and a steering pull compensator responsive to a signal indicative of a valid detection cycle for modifying said torque assist command to the electric motor by an offset corresponding to a detected steering-pull condition on line 45-57, on column 2, and lines 35-45, on column 8; at least one summing function in signal communication with said torque- assist function and with said steering-pull compensator for summing the provided torque- assist command with the offset corresponding to a detected input device pull condition on lines 37-39, on column 5; a function block pro preventing an offset correction corresponding to a detected steering pull condition from exceeding a desired value on lines 37-40, on column 5; it is inherent that there is a memory switch configured such that an output signal there from is also received as an input at an input

Art Unit: 3661

terminal. The invention is microprocessor based and the must be some program memory that would have an output going somewhere.

4. As per claims 5, 27, Yamamoto et al. disclose an enable block for validating the detected steering pull condition on lines 35-45, on column 8.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 22, 25, 26, 28, 30, 31, and 32 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not disclose a filter on the signal indicative of input device torque; a condition processing block to determine straight travel; an enable switch for receiving a binary control signal from said enable block; monitoring a vehicle ignition signal; and a memory switch for receiving its own output signal at its primary input.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 3661

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Broadhead whose telephone number is 703-308-9033. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on 703-305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BJB